

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradema Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.

026646

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/963,720

KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY

NEW YORK NY 10004

11/04/97

MASCHEK

10191/538 **EXAMINER**

PM82/0508

20

DATE MAILED:

3661

05/08/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The reply brief filed April 30, 2001 has been entered and considered. The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

> quès H. Louis-Jacques **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit: 3661

MAY 0 2 2001

2661

[10191/538]



TO 3600 MAIL ROOM

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of:

Examiner: J. Louis Jacques

. . .

For:

PROCESS FOR

GENERATING COLLISION

Marko MASCHEK et al.

SIGNALS

Art Unit:

3661

Filed:

November 4, 1997

Serial No.:

08/963,720

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on

Date: 26 April 200

Reg. No.41,172

Signatura

Dervis Magistre

REPLY BRIEF TRANSMITTAL

SIR:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified patent application please find a Reply Brief pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.193(b), in triplicate.

No fee is believed to be required. Should a fee be required,

please charge Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Do maget (Rg. No. 41, 172)

Dated: 4/24/01

Bichard I Mayer

Richard L. Mayer Reg. No. 22,490

KENYON & KENYON One Broadway New York, NY 10004 (212) 425-7200

370809

[10191/538]

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTE

In re Application of:

: Examiner: J. Louis Jacques

Marko MASCHEK et al.

RECEIVED

PROCESS FOR GENERATING COLLISION SIGNALS

MAY 0 2 2001

Filed:

For:

November 4, 1997

: Art Unit:

3661

TO 3600 MAIL ROOM

Serial No.:

08/963,720

Thereby certally that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Poetal Service as first class mail in an arwelops addressed to: Assistant Coromissioner for Patents, Washington,

D.C. 20231, on

4/26/01

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

descend ______

REPLY BRIEF PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.193(b)

SIR:

Appellants submit the present Reply Brief in response to the Examiner's Answer mailed February 27, 2001 ("the Answer"). Although not required, two duplicate copies of this Reply Brief are also being submitted herewith as a courtesy to the Patent Office.

For the reasons set forth below and in the Appeal Brief mailed on September 25, 2000, the final rejections of claims 1-3 should be reversed.

REMARKS

From the Answer and previous Office Actions, Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner is misconstruing the recitation in the claims of the term "transmission function". According to claim 1, the pattern in time of the individual segments of the crash signal is to be simulated for each, by such a transmission function. The transmission function then functionally simulates the pattern of each crash segment. If the individual transmission functions are combined, this yields the overall transmission function which, as a sum of the individual transmission functions, functionally describes the pattern in time of the crash signal. Such transmission functions per se, therefore, reflect the output variable, namely the





crash signal. Thus, the input variable is time, which, according to the parameters of the individual transmission functions, leads to the output variable, namely the crash pattern. Using this overall transmission function, it is then possible to form synthetic crash signals by varying parameters, in order to prepare various crash patterns for a release algorithm for a test.

The Gioutsos reference is distinguished in that a synthetic crash signal is supplemented by an additive noise signal to form various crash signals. However, a timewise partition of a real crash signal into segments, and a subsequent simulation of these segments by a single transmission function is not described in this reference. Therefore, the claims are patentable over the Gioutsos reference.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully requested that all of the Examiner's rejections of claims 1-3 be reversed, and that each of the claims be allowed as presented.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Do mague (Re. No. 41, 172)

Dated: 4/26/01

By: Richard L. Mayer (Reg. No. 22,490)

KENYON & KENYON One Broadway New York, New York 10004 (212) 425-7200

342904